

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/589,928		AOKI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Erica E. Cadugan		3726	

All Participants:

(1) Erica E. Cadugan

(2) Stephen Favakeh (Reg. No. 36,798)

Date of Interview: 10 August 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

See Continuation Sheet

Claims discussed:

1-14

Prior art documents discussed:

JP 2002-308422, JP 05-036658

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Examiner's Amendment for agreed upon changes.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Erica E Cadugan/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: Discussed some minor claim informality issues and/or minor issues with respect to 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. NOTE: in claim 1, changed later occurrences re "processing device" language to "processing apparatus" so as to avoid confusion (particularly re claim 8) re which "processing device" is referred to, i.e., the overall "processing device" modules that include the platform, conveyance means, fluid guide etc., or the tooling portion that is located within such a module, i.e., processing device selected from the scribing device, venting device, etc. Also discussed potential art rejection over JP 2002-308422. .